Asset Management / Sustainability-Related Disclosure / Last Updated January 26th, 2024

# Vontobel Fund II –Duff & Phelps Global Listed Infrastructure

Legal Document: SFDR Website Disclosure for Article 8 financial products

## **Summary**

The Sub-Fund promotes environmental and social characteristics and invests in issuers that the Investment Manager considers well-prepared to handle financially material environmental and social challenges.

The Sub-Fund has not designated a reference benchmark for the purpose of attaining the environmental and social characteristics that it promotes.

In order to attain the environmental and social characteristics, the Sub-Fund applies the following ESG framework:

# Exclusion approach:

The Sub-Fund excludes:

- securities of corporate issuers involved in products and activities related to: unconventional / controversial weapons (0%), coal (extraction/thermal, 0%), nuclear energy (20%), tobacco (0%), adult entertainment (0%), alcohol (0%), gambling (0%). Such involvement is measured by the revenues an issuer derives from such activities. The Investment Manager considers that a company is involved in such activities when it derives a non-negligible part of its revenues from these activities.

## Monitoring of critical controversies:

The Investment Manager has established a monitoring process to track incidents or ongoing situations in which an issuer's activities may have adverse effects on environmental, social, and governance aspects. This process intends to ensure the alignment with global norms such as the UN Global Compact principles, the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights. This process is initially based on the utilization of third-party data and subsequently entails a comprehensive structured review conducted by the Investment Manager. Securities of issuers are excluded where the Investment Manager has concluded that they (i) violate the norms and standards promoted by the Sub-Fund or (ii) are involved in critical controversies, including those related to governance matters. However, the Investment Manager recognizes that excluding such issuers from the Investment Manager's investments may not always be the best approach to mitigate the adverse effects of their activities. In these cases, the Investment Manager will monitor these issuers, where the Investment Manager believes that reasonable progress can be attained, for example, through active ownership activities, provided the issuer demonstrates good governance.

Additionally, the Sub-Fund follows an active ownership approach, which takes into account relevant environmental, social and governance matters. The Investment Manager sees these activities as a way to support the attainment of the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Sub-Fund. The Sub-Fund is covered by the engagement pool of the Investment Manager's stewardship program, which is mainly based on a collaboration with a stewardship partner. The Investment Manager has limited influence on the stewardship partner's engagement program.

The binding elements of the investment strategy used to select the investments to attain each of the E/S characteristics promoted are as follows:

- The Sub-Fund excludes securities of corporate issuers that derive a non-negligible part of their revenues from excluded products and/or activities listed above.
- The Sub-Fund excludes securities of issuers that are in violation of certain global norms and standards promoted by the Sub-Fund or that are exposed to critical controversies (unless the Investment Manager believes reasonable progress can be attained, for example, through active ownership activities). Such controversies may be related to environmental, social or governance issues.
- At least 90% of the securities in the Sub-Fund are covered by the ESG analysis

Finally, in an effort to measure the attainment of each of the E/S characteristics promoted, the Sub-Fund will report on the defined sustainability indicators as part of its annual periodic reporting. The sustainability indicators are derived from the binding elements of the investment strategy used to select the investments to achieve the promoted E/S characteristics.

1/8

#### No sustainable investment objective

This financial product promotes environmental or social characteristics but does not have as its objective a sustainable investment.

## Environmental or social characteristics of the financial product

What are the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this financial product?

The Sub-Fund promotes environmental and social characteristics and invests in issuers that the Investment Manager considers well-prepared to handle financially material environmental and social challenges.

# Investment strategy

What investment strategy does this financial product follow to select the investments to attain the environmental and social characteristics, and what are the binding elements of this investment strategy?

In order to attain the environmental and social characteristics, the Sub-Fund applies the following ESG framework:

Exclusion approach:

The Sub-Fund excludes:

- securities of corporate issuers involved in products and activities related to: unconventional / controversial weapons (0%), coal (extraction/thermal, 0%), nuclear energy (20%), tobacco (0%), adult entertainment (0%), alcohol (0%), gambling (0%). Such involvement is measured by the revenues an issuer derives from such activities. The Investment Manager considers that a company is involved in such activities when it derives a non-negligible part of its revenues from these activities.

The exclusion listed below are applied with the revenue thresholds indicated1:

**EXCLUSION CRITERIA EXCEPTIONS APPLIED?** Sector/business activity-based exclusions Adult entertainment Upstream: 0% of revenues None. Production: 0% of revenues Downstream: 0% of revenues Alcohol Upstream: 0% of revenues None. Production: 0% of revenues Downstream: 0% of revenues Coal (thermal) Upstream: 0% of revenues None. Production: 0% of revenues Downstream: 0% of revenues Gambling None. Upstream: 0% of revenues Production: 0% of revenues Downstream: 0% of revenues Nuclear power plants: Investments in electric Nuclear energy Production: 20% of revenues utilities with greater than 20% of its revenue from nuclear power have been excluded. Tobacco Upstream: 0% of revenues None. Production: 0% of revenues Downstream: 0% of revenues Unconventional / controversial weap-Upstream: 0% of revenues None. ons Production: 0% of revenues Downstream: 0% of revenues

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Investment Manager may apply exclusions to any three parts of the value chain or a combination. For example, Upstream could be financing including significant ownership of activities in the sector. Downstream could be distribution of products and services from the sector. The categories 'Upstream', 'Production', and 'Downstream' are used in the European ESG Template, and are included in this document for consistency.

Monitoring of critical controversies:

The Investment Manager has established a monitoring process to track incidents or ongoing situations in which an issuer's activities may have adverse effects on environmental, social, and governance aspects. This process intends to ensure the alignment with global norms such as the UN Global Compact principles, the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights. This process is initially based on the utilization of third-party data and subsequently entails a comprehensive structured review conducted by the Investment Manager. Securities of issuers are excluded where the Investment Manager has concluded that they (i) violate the norms and standards promoted by the Sub-Fund or (ii) are involved in critical controversies, including those related to governance matters. However, the Investment Manager recognizes that excluding such issuers from the Investment Manager's investments may not always be the best approach to mitigate the adverse effects of their activities. In these cases, the Investment Manager will monitor these issuers, where the Investment Manager believes that reasonable progress can be attained, for example, through active ownership activities, provided the issuer demonstrates good governance.

## Binding elements:

The binding elements of the investment strategy used to select the investments to achieve the E/S characteristics promoted are as follows:

- The Sub-Fund excludes securities of corporate issuers that derive a non-negligible part of their revenues from excluded products and/or activities listed above.
- The Sub-Fund excludes securities of issuers that are in violation of certain global norms and standards promoted by the Sub-Fund or that are exposed to critical controversies (unless the Investment Manager believes reasonable progress can be attained, for example, through active ownership activities). Such controversies may be related to environmental, social or governance issues.
- At least 90% of the securities in the Sub-Fund are covered by the ESG analysis

By focusing on Global Listed Infrastructure companies and implementing an ESG assessment the Sub-Fund avoids investments in controversial activities, which leads to the exclusion of at least 20% of the Global Listed Infrastructure Investable Universe. At least 90% of the securities in the Sub-Fund are covered by the ESG analysis.

What is the policy to assess good governance practices of the investee companies 2?

The Investment Manager has established a monitoring process to track incidents or ongoing situations in which an issuer's activities may have adverse effects on environmental, social, and governance aspects. This process intends to ensure the alignment with global norms such as the UN Global Compact principles, the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights. This process is initially based on the utilization of third-party data and subsequently entails a comprehensive structured review conducted by the Investment Manager. Securities of issuers are excluded where the Investment Manager has concluded that they (i) violate the norms and standards promoted by the Sub-Fund or (ii) are involved in critical controversies, including those related to governance matters. However, the Investment Manager recognizes that excluding such issuers from the Investment Manager's investments may not always be the best approach to mitigate the adverse effects of their activities. In these cases, the Investment Manager will monitor these issuers, where the Investment Manager believes that reasonable progress can be attained, for example, through active ownership activities, provided the issuer demonstrates good governance.

Does the financial product consider Principal Ad	lverse Sustainability Impacts	s? If yes, which areas/indic	ators are considered and
how?			

The Investment Manager considers the list of principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors mentioned in the table below.

The Investment Manager identifies issuers that are exposed to principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors based on inhouse research; data sources include ESG data providers, news alerts, and the issuers themselves. When no reliable third-party data is available, the Investment Manager may make reasonable estimates or assumptions.

Where the Investment Manager identifies an investment as having a critical and poorly managed impact in one of the considered principal adverse impacts areas, and where no signs of remedial action or improvement have been observed, an action by the Investment Manager must be taken. Action mechanisms may include: exclusion, active ownership, tilting.

Information on how principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors were considered will be made available in the periodic reporting of the Sub-Fund.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> including with respect to sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance

The following Principal Adverse Sustainability Impacts Indicators<sup>3</sup> are considered in the investment strategy:

TABLE	#	PRINCIPAL	ADVERSE IMPACT INDICATOR

ENVI	ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECTS			
	Greenhouse gas emissions			
1	2 Carbon footprint (scope 1 and 2)			
SOC	IAL ASPECTS			
	Controversial weapons			
1	14 Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological			
	weapons)			
	Social and employee rights			
1	10 Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development			
	(OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises			

## **Proportion of investments**

What is the asset allocation planned for this financial product?

INVESTMENTS	PERCENTAGE (OF NET ASSETS)	TYPE OF EXPOSURES
#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social character istics promoted by the financial product.	At least 60%	Only through direct exposures
#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.	Up to 40%	Through direct exposures for unscreened investments, through indirect exposures for hedging instruments.

Under "#2 Other", the Sub-Fund may hold ancillary liquidity and use financial derivative instruments for the purpose of hedging. While these instruments are not expected to detrimentally affect the attainment of the Sub-Fund's environmental and social characteristics, no minimum environmental or social safeguards are applied.

Other investments include also unscreened investments for diversification purposes or investments for which ESG data is lacking. For such instruments the monitoring process of critical controversies is applied.

## Monitoring of environmental or social characteristics

What sustainability indicators are used to measure the attainment of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this financial product?

The attainment of the environmental and social characteristics is measured through the following list of sustainability indicators:

- Percentage of investments in securities of corporate issuers that derive a non-negligible part of their revenues from products and/or activities excluded by the Sub-Fund (excluded products and/or activities are inidcated under the investment strategy section)
- Percentage of investments in securities of issuers that are in violation of certain global norms and standards promoted by the Sub-Fund or that are exposed to critical controversies (unless the Investment Manager believes reasonable progress can be attained, for example, through active ownership activities). Such controversies may be related to environmental, social or governance issues
- Percentage of securities covered by ESG analysis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As set out in Table 1, 2 and 3 of Annex 1 of Regulation (EU) 2022/1288

How are the environmental or social characteristics and the sustainability indicators monitored throughout the lifecycle of the financial product and the related internal/external control mechanism?

The information used for the implementation of the ESG framework, and consequently the attainment of the environmental and social characteristics, are reviewed on a regular basis.

If a security does not comply with the binding criteria above described, the Investment Manager divests from such an issuer within a time period to be determined by the Investment Manager without exceeding in principle three months after such breach was detected, considering prevailing market conditions, and taking due account of the best interests of the shareholders. The Board of Directors or the Management Company of Vontobel Fund may decide to further postpone the rectification of such a breach or decide to carry out the divestment in several instalments over a longer period of time in exceptional cases, provided this is considered to be in the best interests of the shareholders.

Compliance with the binding elements applied by this Sub-Fund is monitored by the investment teams. For the elements that are in scope of the Sub-Fund's investment guidelines and subject to investment controls, the internal Investment Control unit has post-trade checks mechanisms in place. The independent Investment Control team conducts a daily post-trade review of portfolios using our portfolio management system. Should Investment Control and the respective portfolio manager fail to agree whether a breach has actually occurred (e.g. in case of a different interpretation of regulatory investment restrictions), Compliance analyses the case and then informs Investment Control of its assessment, which then follows up accordingly. The pre- and post-trade checks are parametrized either based on data retained directly from third-party ESG data provider or from the Investment Manager directly, especially where the followed approaches are based on proprietary methodologies of the Investment Manager. For documented ESG processes and controls, first line of defence controls are confirmed and self-assessed annually by the business owners via the Operation Risk and Control Self-Assessment (RCSA) process. The RCSA process is a systematic and regular business process aimed at reviewing specific inherent operational risks that Asset Management investments are ex-posed to, as well as an assessment of the control environment that is in place to mitigate those risks. Second line functions like Compliance carry out spot checks on some first line of defence controls.

## Methodologies

What are the methodologies used for the implementation of the ESG framework?

# Exclusion approach:

The Investment Manager retains data from the third-party data provider MSCI ESG in order to analyze an issuer's exposure to activities excluded by the Sub-Fund, based on pre-defined thresholds. In order to qualify for initial investment, the issuer must not breach any of these exclusion criteria/thresholds.

## Monitoring of critical controversies:

The Investment Manager has established a monitoring process to track incidents or ongoing situations in which an issuer's activities may have adverse effects on environmental, social, and governance aspects. This process intends to ensure the alignment with global norms such as the UN Global Compact principles, the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights. This process is initially based on the utilization of third-party data and subsequently entails a comprehensive structured review conducted by the Investment Manager. Securities of issuers are excluded where the Investment Manager has concluded that they (i) violate the norms and standards promoted by the Sub-Fund or (ii) are involved in critical controversies, including those related to governance matters. However, the Investment Manager recognizes that excluding such issuers from the Investment Manager's investments may not always be the best approach to mitigate the adverse effects of their activities. In these cases, the Investment Manager will monitor these issuers, where the Investment Manager believes that reasonable progress can be attained, for example, through active ownership activities, provided the issuer demonstrates good governance.

#### Data sources and processing

What are the data sources used to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics including the measures taken to ensure data quality, how data is processed and the proportion of data that is estimated?

The following data sources are used for the implementation of the investment process:

- Proprietary ESG scoring
- External ESG data providers:
- Information directly provided by the issuers

In order to ensure data quality, the Investment Manager:

- Regularly reviews data
- Uses multiple data sources
- May directly engage with the issuers when data gaps occur

The data sources mentioned above are used in order to implement the ESG framework as described in detail in the Investment Strategy section.

The Investment Manager may make reasonable estimates, when data is lacking. Additionally, third party ESG data provider may use estimates themselves. The proportion of data that is estimated by the Investment Manager is indicated to be low to medium, depending on the data type.

## Limitations to methodologies and data

What are the limitations to the methodologies and data sources?

In assessing the eligibility of an issuer based on ESG research, there is a dependence upon information and data from third party ESG research data providers and internal analyses which may be based on certain assumptions or hypothesis that render it incomplete or inaccurate. As a result, there is a risk of inaccurately assessing a security or issuer. There is also a risk that the Investment Manager may not apply the relevant criteria of the ESG research correctly or that the financial product could have indirect exposure to issuers who do not meet the relevant criteria. This poses a significant methodological limit to the ESG strategy of the financial product. Neither the financial product, nor the management company nor the investment manager make any representation or warranty, express or implied, with respect to the fairness, correctness, accuracy, reasonableness, or completeness of an assessment of ESG research and the correct execution of the ESG strategy.

In order to maintain confidence that social and environmental characteristics are met, the investment manager may also engage with investees in order to fill data gaps or may use complimentary data from additional providers or directly from investee disclosures.

# Due diligence

What is the due diligence carried out on the underlying assets at initial investment and what are the internal and external controls in place?

In order to qualify for initial investment, the investments aligned with the environmental and social characteristics must comply with the binding elements applied by the Sub-Fund. This compliance has to be ensured by the Investment Manager. For the elements that are in scope of the Sub-Fund's investment guidelines and subject to investment controls, the internal Investment Control unit has pre-trade checks mechanisms in place. The pre-trade checks allow portfolio managers to simulate trades and check each trade against restrictions, prior to placing orders, in order to prevent the occurrence of breaches. When submitting orders an automated check of the investment guidelines restrictions is performed, generating a warning to the portfolio managers, highlighting potential breaches that would materialize in case the orders would be executed.

Fn	na	aer	nan	4	nol	ici	00
	lua	uei	nen		ooi	II C	25

Is engag	ement part of the environmental or social investment strategy?
⊠ Yes	□ No

If so, what are the engagement procedures?

The Sub-Fund chooses where, when and how to engage based on how material an issue is to our clients' economic outcomes. We will consider engaging on an ESG issue if:

- It is or may become relevant and impactful toward the financial condition, operational performance, or future positioning of the organization under consideration (e.g. a current or potential portfolio company), and
- The Sub-Fund assesses itself as being able to influence, individually or collectively as a member of the global investment community, said organization. Beginning with the robust investment analysis by our fundamental research analysts, successful engagement depends on defining the scope, participants, and potential range of outcomes of the engagement. We will focus on and prioritize which engagements may impact a company most.

In addition, the Sub-Fund applies a comprehensive stewardship strategy supported by its partner, reo. The stewardship partner conducts engagement activities based around three approaches:

- Bottom-up approach the stewardship partner engages with companies that have exceptionally poor ESG practices or critical ESG controversies ("priority companies");
- Top-down approach the stewardship partner selects companies for which practices should be improved based on thematics focus areas (e.g. climate risk management);
- Continuous risk management the stewardship partner engages in response to controversies and breaches in global norms.

In terms of voting activities, the Investment Manager applies the following process. Portfolio managers and analysts can receive alerts of forthcoming shareholder meetings together with the voting recommendations provided by the engaged proxy voting advisor, REO. Portfolio managers and analysts review the voting recommendations and if they agree with them, no action is required and Vontobel votes accordingly. In certain cases, they may have a different opinion, for example if the standard recommendation does not match their in-depth knowledge of the company in question and its management, which may have been gained in the context of engagement activities. The portfolio manager can change the vote on an item on the agenda, with appropriate documentation, thus providing justification for any choices that deviate from those recommended by the engaged proxy-voting service provider. The overruling process is described in our voting policy. This process ensures that we execute our voting obligations and make decisions in the interests of our clients. The respective management company coordinate these aspects and the related processes.

# Designated reference benchmark

Has a re uct?	ference benchmark been designated for the purpose of attaining these characteristics promoted by the financial prod-
□ Yes	⊠ No

# Important information

Subscriptions of shares of the fund should in any event be made solely on the basis of the fund's current sales prospectus (the "Sales Prospectus"), the Key (Investor) Information Document ("K(I)ID"), its articles of incorporation and the most recent annual and semi-annual report of the fund and after seeking the advice of an independent finance, legal, accounting and tax specialist. If you are in any doubt about the contents of this document or have any question, you should consult your professional and/or investment advisers.

The information in this document might have been revised either after the 1st of January 2023 (when the SFDR RTS came into effect) or following the launch of the financial product. The updates could have been made to offer more clarity on specific subjects or to align with any alterations in the financial product's ESG approach. You can locate the applicable date for this document at the top of the page and in the file name of this document.